The cytoskeleton enhances gene expression in the response to the Harpin elicitor in grapevine
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Abstract

The cytoskeleton undergoes dramatic reorganization during plant defence. This response is generally interpreted as part of the cellular repolarization establishing physical barriers against the invading pathogen. To gain insight into the functional significance of cytoskeletal responses for defence, two Vitis cell cultures that differ in their microtubular dynamics were used, and the cytoskeletal response to the elicitor Harpin in parallel to alkalinization of the medium as a fast response, and the activation of defence-related genes were followed. In one cell line derived from the grapevine cultivar ‘Pinot Noir’, microtubules contained mostly tyrosinylated α-tubulin, indicating high microtubular turnover, whereas in another cell line derived from the wild grapevine V. rupestris, the α-tubulin was strongly detyrosinated, indicating low microtubular turnover. The cortical microtubules were disrupted and actin filaments were bundled in both cell lines, but the responses were elevated in V. rupestris as compared with V. vinifera cv. ‘Pinot Noir’. The cytoskeletal responsiveness correlated with elicitor-induced alkalinization and the expression of defence genes. Using resveratrol synthase and stilbene synthase as examples, it could be shown that pharmacological manipulation of microtubules could induce gene expression in the absence of elicitor. These findings are discussed with respect to a role for microtubules as positive regulators of defence-induced gene expression.
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Introduction

The interaction of pathogens and their hosts is subject to an evolutionary race of arms, where the pathogens developed various strategies to circumvent or suppress defence responses of the host, whereas the host developed various strategies to sense and attack the invading pathogen or its effector molecules. The classical model for pathogen resistance has been the so-called gene-for-gene concept, where, on the side of the host, specific resistance genes (R genes) confer immunity to particular races of pathogens by recognition of so-called avirulence factors that are essential for the life cycle of the pathogen (for a review, see Dangl and Jones, 2001).

However, during recent years it became clear that the R gene defence has to be seen as highly specialized, derived situation that has evolved from much broader systems of defence that confer resistance to entire groups or classes of microorganisms. This non-host resistance (for reviews, see Heath, 2000; Thordal-Christensen, 2003) can be triggered by general elicitors, so-called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs; for a review, see Nürnberg and Brunner 2002). In contrast to R gene-dependent defence, the responses to general elicitors do not always result in programmed cell death. Typical examples of general elicitors are chitin (Felix et al., 1993) or bacterial flagellin (Felix et al., 1999; Zipfel et al., 2004). The signalling triggered by exogenous elicitors can be complemented by endogenous elicitors that are formed from the host cell wall upon hydrolytic attack of pathogen-derived enzymes (Davis et al., 1984).

Cellular responses to elicitors include formation of cell wall papillae around sites of pathogen penetration. The
formation of these papillae is preceded by a reorganization of the cytoskeleton causing a redistribution of vesicle traffic and a cytoplasmic aggregation towards the penetration site (for reviews, see Nakayama and Hohn, 2008), and a, somewhat slower, migration of the nucleus (for a review, see Schmelzer, 2002). By localized mechanical stimulation of parsley cells, it was possible partially to mimic an attack by Phytophthora sojae and to induce several aspects of a non-host resistance including nuclear migration, cytoplasmic reorganization, formation of reactive oxygen species, and the induction of several defence-related genes (Gus-Mayer et al., 1998). In contrast, localized application of the corresponding elicitor (pep-13) failed to induce the morphological changes, although it induced the full set of defence-related genes and the formation of reactive oxygen species. Interestingly, the elicitor completely inhibited cytoplasmic aggregation and nuclear migration in response to the mechanical stimulus. Since pep-13 induces in this system the activity of a mechano-sensitive calcium channel (Zimmermann et al., 1997), it seems that chemical and mechanical signalling converge during the cytoskeletal response to pathogen attack. Neither the mechanical stimulus, nor the elicitor, nor their combination was able to induce hypersensitive cell death in these experiments, leading the authors to conclude that additional chemical signals are required to obtain the complete pathogen response.

Traditionally, the role of the cytoskeleton has been seen as a response system that repartitions vesicle traffic and cytoplasmic architecture or executes pathogen-elicited programmed cell death. For instance, elicitor-induced reorganization of actin microfilaments was suggested to participate in the disintegration of the tonoplast during the hypersensitive response of BY-2 cells to the proteinaceous elicitor cryptogein (Higaki et al., 2007). However, an increasing body of evidence (reviewed in Nick, 2008) suggests that the cytoskeleton plays a role in the sensing of abiotic stimuli such as mechanical perturbation. This leads to the question of whether actin filaments and microtubules, in addition to their response to pathogens and elictors, might play a role upstream in elicitor-triggered signalling.

To address this question, a system was searched for, where both cytoskeletal and defence responses could be triggered differentially by treatment with an elicitor. Then it was possible to set up an experimental model based on two cell lines from grapevine genotypes that differed in their pathogenicity, the bacteria that causes fire blight of Rosaceae (Wei et al., 1992a). Since the hrp genes are conserved among phytopathogenic bacteria such as Erwinia, Pseudomonas, and Xanthomonas and were found to be essential for pathogenicity, they have been suggested to be the archetypal disease determinant of these pathogens. At the same time Harpin can trigger a hypersensitive response in non-host plants. This differential effect was ascribed to differential processing of Harpin in host versus non-host plants (Wei et al., 1992b). In addition to tissue collapse in tobacco leaves, Harpin triggers an alkalization of the apoplastic pH. Due to its broadband efficacy as a trigger of plant defence, Harpin was later commercialized under the brand name Messenger®. So far, at least to our knowledge, there are no reports of type III effectors targeting plant cytoskeletal elements.

Here it is shown that the two cell lines differ with respect to the elicitor response of microtubules and actin filaments, and that this differential response is correlated with differential microtubule stability, and a differential response of defence genes. Further, defence genes can be partially triggered by pharmacological manipulation of microtubules in the absence of elicitor, providing the first evidence for a role for the cytoskeleton as a positive regulator of elicitor-triggered gene expression.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and treatments

Suspension cell cultures of V. rupestris and V. vinifera cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ generated from leaves (Seibicke, 2002) were used in this experiment. They were cultivated in liquid medium containing 4.3 g l⁻¹ Murashige and Skoog salts (Duchefa, Haarlem, The Netherlands), 30 g l⁻¹ sucrose, 200 mg l⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 100 mg l⁻¹ inositol, 1 mg l⁻¹ thiamine, and 0.2 mg l⁻¹ 2,4-dichlorophenoxy-acetic acid (2,4-D), pH 5.8. Cells were subcultured weekly, inoculating 8–10 ml of stationary cells into 30 ml of fresh medium in 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. The cell suspensions were incubated at 25 °C in the dark on an orbital shaker (KS250 basic, IKA Labortechnik, Staufen, Germany) at 150 rpm. To induce cellular responses, the cultures were treated with different concentrations of a commercially available Harpin elicitor [Messenger®, EDEN Bioscience Corporation, Washington, USA; active ingredient: 3% (w/w) Harpin protein].

Measurement and quantitative analysis of pH responses

pH changes were followed by a pH meter (Schott handylab, pH 12) connected to a pH electrode (Mettler Toledo, LoT403-M8-S7/120) and recorded by a paperless record (VR06; MF Instruments GmbH, Albstadt-Truchtelfingen, Germany) at 1 s intervals. Before treatments, 2 ml of suspension cells (3–4 d after subcultivation) were pre-adapted on an orbital shaker for ~90 min until the pH was stable. To inhibit putative stretch-activated channels (Supplementary Fig. S2 available at JXB online), cells were pre-incubated for 30 min with water or different concentrations of GdCl₃ before addition of 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin.

The pH data were exported to Microsoft Office Excel by data acquisition software Observer II V2.35 (MF Instruments GmbH). The data were fitted based on a Michaelis–Menten equation with 1/Tₚ₈₅₀ as 1/Vₘₐₓ, EC₂₅ as Km, and the concentration of Harpin as [S]. Tₚ₈₅₀ was the time required to reach 50% of the maximal pH response. Due to the asymptotic behaviour of 1/T over [S], the Tₚ₈₅₀ was a more reliable measure. Consequently, the equation yielded Km as an estimate for the concentration causing 25% of the maximal response (EC₂₅).

Visualization and quantification of microtubule responses

Microtubules were visualized by indirect immunofluorescence using a monoclonal antibody against α-tubulin (DM1A; Sigma,
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### Visualization of actin microfilaments

Actin microfilaments were visualized as described in Maisch and Nick (2007) with minor modifications. Suspended cells were fixed for 30 min in 1.8% (w/v) fresh paraformaldehyde in standard buffer (0.1 M PIPES, pH 7.0, supplemented with 5 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM EGTA) containing 1% (v/v) glycerol and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100; cells were then rinsed three times for 5 min with standard buffer. Then, 0.5 ml of the resuspended cells was incubated for 30 min with 0.5 μl of 0.66 μM FITC-phalloidin (Sigma-Aldrich) freshly prepared from a 6.6 μM stock solution in 96%/w/v) ethanol diluted with 1:10, v/v) with PBS (0.15 M NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, and 6.5 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.2). Cells were then washed three times for 5 min in PBS and observed immediately by confocal laser scanning microscopy as described above.

### Measuring the expression of defence-related genes

A small volume (1.5 ml) of cells was induced 5 d after subcultivation with 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin for 0.5, 2, 4, or 6 h, or with water as negative control, sedimented by low-speed centrifugation (3000 rpm; 1 min), and shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were homogenized with a Tissue Lyser (Qiagen/Retsch®, Germany). Total RNA was extracted from V. rupestris and V. vinifera cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ cells using the RNasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) or the Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma) following the protocol of the producer. The extracted RNA was treated with a DNA-free DNase (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) to remove potential contamination of genomic DNA. The mRNA was transcribed into cDNA using the M-MLV RTase cDNA Synthesis Kit (New England BioLabs; Frankfurt am Main, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNaseOUT™ RNase inhibitor (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) was used to remove contamination by non-transcribed RNA. Transcripts were amplified by PCR primers (Table 1) with 30 cycles of 30 s denaturation at 94 °C, 30 s annealing at 60 °C, and 1 min synthesis at 72 °C. The PCR products were separated by conventional agarose gel electrophoresis after visualization with

### Table 1. Designations, sequences, and literature references for the oligonucleotide primers used to amplify the marker sequences used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
<th>Primer sequence 5’–3’</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF1-α</td>
<td>EC959059</td>
<td>Sense: 5’-GAACGTGGTGCTAGTATG-3’</td>
<td>Reid et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisense: 5’-AAACAAAAATCCGAGTAAAAGAA-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>X75967</td>
<td>Sense: 5’-TGCTAGCTGGGAAGAAGGTG-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisense: 5’-GCTTCAAGCACTAGAAGACA-3’</td>
<td>Belhadj et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHI</td>
<td>X75963</td>
<td>Sense: 5’-GTTCAAGTGACGACGTCC-3’</td>
<td>Kortekamp (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisense: 5’-GTTTCCAGATGCGACTCT-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHS</td>
<td>AB066274</td>
<td>Sense: 5’-GTTGTCCACAGTGTCATCT-3’</td>
<td>Belhadj et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisense: 5’-TACCAAAAGGAGGAAGGGGAAA-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR10</td>
<td>AJ291705</td>
<td>Sense: 5’-CTTAGAGAGTGGTGGTACT-3’</td>
<td>Kortekamp (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisense: 5’-GAAATAGAACATCACAATCTC-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGIP</td>
<td>AF05093</td>
<td>Sense: 5’-GATGTGACTGGTGCTGATG-3’</td>
<td>Kortekamp (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisense: 5’-GTTAGAAGACACACAAACG-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>AF274281</td>
<td>Sense: 5’-GAAACGCTCAACAGTGCCAAGG-3’</td>
<td>Kortekamp (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisense: 5’-GTAACCATGGAATGTGCTGAC-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StSy</td>
<td>X76892</td>
<td>Sense: 5’-GGATCAATGGCTTACGAG-3’</td>
<td>Kortekamp (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Antisense: 5’-GTCACCATGGAATGTGCTGAC-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PR10, pathogenesis-related proteins 10, respectively; PGIP, polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein; PAL1, phenylalanine ammonia lyase 1; CHS, chalcone synthase; RS, resveratrol synthase; StSy, stilbene synthase; CHI, chalcone isomerase.
CybrSafe (Invitrogen). Images of the gels were recorded on a MITSUBISHI P91D screen (Invitrogen) using a digital image acquisition system (SafeImage™, Intas, Germany).

The bands of the products were quantified using the Image J software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) and standardized relative to elongation factor 1α as internal standard. The results were plotted as fold increase of transcript abundance as compared with the untreated control. To test the effect of cytoskeletal drugs on Harpin-induced gene expression, cells were pre-treated for 30 min with cytoskeleton drugs including phallolidin (Sigma; 1 μM), latrunculin B (Sigma; 1 μM), oryzalin (10 μM), taxol (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland; 10 μM), and cytochalasin D (Sigma; 5 μM), with dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO; Sigma) as solvent control (final concentration 1% (v/v) as used in oryzalin treatment), and water as negative control. After pre-treatment, cells were induced with Harpin as described above and mRNA was extracted 1 h after addition of the elicitor. The data from the quantification represent the mean from at least three independent experimental series.

Protein extraction and western blot analysis

Proteins were extracted and probed as described in Jovanović et al. (2010) and Nick et al. (1995), with minor modifications. Protein extracts were prepared from 5-day-old grapevine cells after 16 h of treatment with 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin. After sedimentation in 15 ml test tubes (10 min, 1500 g; Hettich Centrifuge Typ1300, Tuttingen, Germany), cells were homogenized in the same volume of pre-cooled 0 °C extraction buffer, containing 25 mM MES, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 M glycerol, pH 6.9, supplemented with 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and 1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF) by using a glass potter grinder on ice. Insoluble tissue debris was removed by centrifugation (5 min at 13 000 g; Heraeus Instruments, Biofugepico, Osterode, Germany, rotor PP1; C; Beckman, München, Germany, TL-100, rotor TLA100.2). Proteins in the supernatant were concentrated and sedimented by precipitation with trichloracetic acid. Then the precipitated proteins were washed with 80% acetone at −20 °C (vortex) and the pellet was discarded after centrifugation (5 min at 13 000 g). Samples were dissolved in 200 μl of sample buffer, denatured for 10 min at 95 °C, loaded onto a standard 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel, and subjected to Western blotting as described in Nick et al. (1995). A pre-stained broad range protein marker (P77088, New England Biolabs) was used as a molecular weight standard. For detection of tyrosinated α-tubulin, the monoclonal antibody ATTh (Sigma-Aldrich), and for the detection of detyrosinated α-tubulin, the monoclonal antibody DM1A (Sigma-Aldrich) were used at a dilution of 1:400 in TRIS-buffered saline containing Triton X-100 (TBST: 20 mM TRIS-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton, pH 7.4), respectively. The signal was visualized by a secondary goat anti-mouse IgA conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (Sigma-Aldrich) at a dilution of 1:2500 in TBST with 3% (w/v) low-fat milk powder and visualized with a chemiluminescence reagent (ECL Western blotting detection kit). For detection of tubulin, the monoclonal antibody 2.1C5 (Sigma-Aldrich) at a dilution of 1:500 in TBST with 3% (w/v) low-fat milk powder was used. The bands of the products were visualized by staining with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) as a loading control.

Results

Harpin induces extracellular alkalization

To monitor potential differences in the response of the two cell lines to the Harpin elicitor, extracellular alkalization was used as the indicator (Fig. 1). In both cell lines, the pH increased rapidly and culminated ∼30 min after addition of the elicitor, and subsequently decreased. However, in cv. ‘Pinot Noir’, the peak of the response was reached later (∼30 min) as compared with V. rupestris (after 20 min). For cv. ‘Pinot Noir’, the response was accelerated and reached a higher amplitude when the concentration of Harpin was increased from 9 μg ml⁻¹ to 90 μg ml⁻¹ (Fig. 1C); however, in V. rupestris, there were no significant differences between the two concentrations (Fig. 1D). To characterize the difference between the two cell lines on a quantitative level, time courses were recorded by varying the concentration of the elicitor. The results were fitted using a Michaelis–Menten function. TpH₅₀ represents the time to reach 50% of the maximal response. The curves represent the average from n ≥15 individual time courses.
20 μM GdCl₃, whereas in *V. rupestris* the pH response persisted even to 1 mM GdCl₃.

**Harpin induces microtubule disintegration**

To visualize the response of microtubules to Harpin, immunofluorescence was combined with confocal microscopy. In control cells the microtubular network was organized in arrays of parallel bundles (Fig. 2A, C). In contrast, microtubules disintegrated after addition of 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin (Fig. 2B, D). This response was more pronounced in *V. rupestris* as compared with cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ (Fig. 2D). This disintegration was significant already from 1 h after addition of the elicitor (data not shown), and was completed at 3 h (Fig. 2A-D). Since the degree of Harpin-induced microtubule disintegration varied between the two *Vitis* species, microtubule integrity was quantified as described in Abdurakhmanova et al. (2003). As a measure of integrity, the number of microtubules intersecting a probing line perpendicular to the microtubule array was scored (Fig. 2E). Under control conditions, microtubule integrity was comparable between the two cell lines. However, in response to the elicitor, a differential behaviour became manifest. Microtubule integrity did not change significantly in the cv. ‘Pinot Noir’, although microtubules became finer after Harpin treatment (Fig. 2A, C). In contrast, in *V. rupestris*, microtubule frequency dropped significantly (Fig. 2F). To test whether the difference in the microtubular response is related to a difference in microtubular dynamics, the relationship between tyrosinylated versus detyrosinated α-tubulin was assessed by western blotting (Fig. 2G). Detyrosination is stimulated with increasing lifetime of α-tubulin (recorded by the DM1A antibody) versus detyrosinated α-tubulin (recorded by the ATT antibody) was assessed by western blotting (Fig. 2G). Detyrosination was stimulated with increasing lifetime of microtubules and therefore can be used to monitor global microtubule turnover. The relative abundance of tyrosinylated α-tubulin (recorded by the ATT antibody) versus detyrosinated α-tubulin (recorded by the DM1A antibody) was generally elevated in cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ over *V. rupestris* and even increased slightly in response to the elicitor. In contrast, detyrosinated tubulin, which was hardly detectable in cv. ‘Pinot Noir’, was constitutively elevated in *V. rupestris*. This indicates that microtubules in cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ are generally endowed with a higher turnover as compared with *V. rupestris*. This is supported by the observation that growth of cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ is significantly more sensitive to oryzalin as compared with *V. rupestris* (Supplementary Fig. S1 at JXB online). Oryzalin sequesters tubulin heterodimers and eliminates microtubules depending on the level of their innate turnover.

**Harpin induces actin bundling**

Since the resistance of plant cells to penetration by a pathogen has been shown to depend on actin organization (Kobayashi et al., 1997; for a review, see Schmelzer, 2002), the response of actin filaments to Harpin was assessed in the two cell lines. Actin filaments were visualized by fluorescent phalloidin in combination with mild fixation after 3 h incubation with 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin. In the absence of Harpin, transvacuolar actin filaments reach from the nucleus into the cell periphery and spread into a cortical actin array in both cell lines (Fig. 3A, C). In contrast, at 3 h after addition of Harpin, the cortical actin array had significantly faded, and the finer transvacuolar actin filaments had been replaced by bundles that converge to the nucleus (Fig. 3B, D).

**Harpin induces defence-related genes**

To estimate expression of defence-related genes in the two cell lines, suspension cells were challenged with 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin, and mRNA was isolated at different time points after induction (0, 0.5, 2, 4, and 6 h). The expression of defence-related candidate genes was followed by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) using the elongation factor 1α gene as internal reference for quantification. Genes involved in the phenylpropane pathway such as phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), chalcone synthase (CHS), chalcone isomerase (CHI), stilbene synthase (StSy), and resveratrol synthase (RS) were used (Fig. 4A), and complemented by the pathogenesis-related protein PR10, and the polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein PGIP. Transcripts corresponding to the genes RS, StSy, and PAL accumulated transiently in both cell lines. However, the response initiated earlier and reached a higher amplitude in *V. rupestris* as compared with cv. ‘Pinot Noir’. CHS and CHI were constitutively up-regulated in *V. rupestris* as compared with cv. ‘Pinot Noir’. The expression of PR10 and PGIP was regulated with respect to the phenylpropane enzymes, with a stronger and earlier induction in *V. rupestris* as compared with cv. ‘Pinot Noir’. Interestingly, the expression of StSy and RS that catalyse the same biochemical reaction during the biosynthesis of resveratrol differs quantitatively, with a much stronger response of the StSy gene (~5-fold as compared with RS). Nevertheless, for both genes, the response was much more pronounced in *V. rupestris* than in cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ (Fig. 4C).

**Cytoskeletal compounds induce RS and StSy in the absence of elicitor**

To test whether manipulation of the cytoskeleton can mimic the effects of Harpin on the expression of defence genes, both cell lines were incubated for 30 min with compounds targeted either to actin filaments (phalloidin, latrunculin B, and cytochalasin D) or to microtubules (oryzalin and taxol). After 30 min, one set of samples, 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin were added and gene expression was assayed 2 h later at the time of the maximum response, whereas in the other set of samples the cells were not challenged by the elicitor. In the elicitor-treated set the expression of RS and StSy was not significantly different between the drug-treated samples, showing that the compounds did not impair the ability of the cells to respond to the elicitor. Interestingly, there was a partial (up to a third of the maximal response) but clear induction of RS and StSy in the absence of the elicitor. Similar to the previous experiments, the induction was generally more pronounced in *V. rupestris* compared with cv. ‘Pinot Noir’, and clearer for StSy compared with RS.
Independently of these differences, a consistent pattern emerged, with the strongest induction achieved by oryzalin. Taxol produced a similar, but somewhat weaker, induction, indicating that it is not only the mere presence of microtubules, but also their turnover that regulates the expression of these defence genes. Pharmacological manipulation of actin yielded weaker effects that were barely significant—if at all there was a tendency for induction in *V. rupestris* by

![Fig. 2. Response of cortical microtubules to Harpin in cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ (A, B) and *V. rupestris* (C, D). Representative geometrical projections from z-stacks collected prior to (A, C) or after 3 h (B, D) of treatment with 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin. Microtubules were visualized by immunofluorescence. Size bar=50 μm. (E) Method to quantify microtubule density (as a measure of microtubule integrity) as integrated fluorescence along a probing line. (F) Microtubule density in relative units prior to (open bars) or after 3 h of treatment with 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin (striped bars). Error bars represent standard errors. The values summarize the data of 18–39 individual cells collected from at least three independent experiments. (G) Relative abundance of tyrosinylated α-tubulin (recorded by the ATT antibody) versus detyrosinated α-tubulin (recorded by the DM1A antibody) in total extracts from cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ or *V. rupestris* that had been either raised under control conditions or challenged for 16 h with 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin. The same amount of total protein was loaded in each lane.](image-url)
latrunculin B, a drug that very efficiently eliminates actin filaments.

**Discussion**

Life is not easy—this is especially true for plant cells that cannot run away, but have to cope with environmental challenges by adaptation. Pathogen defence represents a specific aspect of this general ability. Whereas the description of plant defence had been dominated by the gene-for-gene concept, during recent years evolutionarily more ancient systems of innate immunity have received more attention. When a plant cell is challenged by a pathogen, it responds on two levels: biochemically, by an induction of defence genes leading to the synthesis of specific secondary metabolites with antibiotic activities, the phytoalexins (for a review, see Zhao et al., 2005), and, structurally, by a repolarization of cytoplasmic architecture towards the site of penetration (for a review, see Schmelzer, 2002). These responses are triggered, for instance, by the binding of PAMPs, such as bacterial flagellin (Zipfel et al., 2004), to leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinases (for a review, see Morris and Walker, 2003). The activation of the receptor, as a next step, seems to activate ion channels, with some ions such as plant katanin (Stoppin-Mellet et al., 2008). To address the question of whether the cytoskeleton, in addition, participates in signal processing, the response to the Harpin elicitor was followed in two cell lines from grapevine genotypes that differed in their microtubule dynamics.

To monitor rapid elicitor responses upstream of gene expression, proton influx was used. Although the biological function of this proton influx is not understood, the resulting alkalinization of the external medium can be used as a simple readout for quantitative analysis of the cellular response to elicitors (Felix et al., 1993), and has been employed successfully to investigate the function of Harpin (Wei et al., 1992a). As markers for defence-related gene induction, StSy and RS were used. Stilbenes, in general, and resveratrol, in particular, have been shown to harbour antifungal activities. In fact, overexpression of StSy genes in tobacco (Hain et al., 1993), rice (Stark-Lorenzen et al., 1997), kiwi (Kobayashi et al., 2000), alfalfa (Hipkind and Paiva, 2000), barley (Leckband and Lörz, 1998), a grapevine rootstock (Coutos-Thièvenot et al., 2001), and apple (Szankowski et al., 2003) was found to confer resistance to fungal pathogens.

Both readouts for the elicitor response showed a more sensitive and a more pronounced response of the *V. rupestris* cell line as compared with cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ (Figs 1, 4). This was correlated with a more pronounced response of both microtubule fragmentation (Fig. 2) and actin bundling (Fig. 3). It should be noted, however, that the cytoskeletal changes required a relatively long time to become detectable. This is certainly a drawback of the methodology used for visualization relying on fixed cells such that only relatively drastic bulk changes can be tracked. The data from pharmacological manipulation of microtubules, where defence genes could be partially induced in the absence of elicitor, indicate that microtubular responses that are much more rapid and subtle are relevant for signalling (Fig. 4D).

The microtubular disintegration was more pronounced in *V. rupestris* as compared with cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ in response to Harpin, although the higher abundance of detyrosinated α-tubulin (Fig. 2F) and the increased tolerance to oryzalin (Supplementary Fig. S1 at JXB online) indicates that microtubular lifetimes are increased in *V. rupestris*. Disintegration of microtubules can occur by different, independent mechanisms. Most ‘microtubule-disrupting’ drugs (this term, although often used, is in fact quite misleading) act by sequestering tubulin heterodimers such that they cannot be integrated into the growing plus end, and the microtubule disappears depending on its innate turnover. However, there exist alternative mechanisms that involve true ‘disruption’ of polymerized microtubules. Such microtubule disruption can be produced, for instance, by so-called severing proteins such as plant katinin (Stoppin-Mellet et al., 2008). Interestingly, the microtubule-severing activity of *Shigella* (also employing a type III effector) has been found to be crucial for intercellular spreading of this pathogen (Yoshida et al., 2006), and the host response to *Xanthomonas* type III
Fig. 4. Response of defence-related genes to Harpin in cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ and *V. rupestris*. (A) Position of the analysed enzymes in flavonoid and stilbene metabolism. (B) Representative time courses of transcript abundance followed by RT-PCR in response to 9 μg ml⁻¹ Harpin. The upper group represents genes of the flavonoid and stilbene pathway: PAL, phenylalanine ammonium lyase; CHS, chalcone synthase; StSy, stilbene synthase; RS, resveratrol synthase; and CHI, chalcone isomerase. The middle group represents pathogenesis-related genes: PR10, pathogenesis-related protein 10; and PGIP, polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein. Elongation factor 1α (EF) was used as internal standard for quantification. (C) Time course of transcript abundance for RS and StSy relative to elongation factor 1α. The data represent averages from three independent experimental series; error bars represent standard errors. (D) Expression of RS and StSy relative to untreated controls after pre-treatment with anticytoskeletal compounds for 30 min and allowing the response to be expressed for an additional 2 h. Concentrations were 1 μM for phalloidin (Pha), 1 μM for latrunculin B (LatB), 10 μM for cytochalasin D (CytD), 10 μM for oryzalin (Ory), 10 μM for taxol (Tax), and 1% for DMSO as solvent control for the oryzalin experiment. The data represent averages from three independent experimental series; error bars represent standard errors. * different from the untreated control significant at the 95% confidence level, ** different from the untreated control significant at the 99% confidence level.
was suppressed by dysfunction of the cytoskeleton (Marois et al., 2002). Thus, the present findings on the Harpin elicitor are consistent with an emerging role for microtubules for the defence response to type III effectors.

It is still too early to design clear models for this role of microtubules as triggers/amplifiers of plant defence. Nevertheless, evidence is accumulating that suggests an interaction between microtubules and mechanosensitive ion channels that are important for the induction of defence (Zimmermann et al., 1997; Gus-Mayer et al., 1998). When microtubules were disassembled by antimicrotubule agents, both mechanosensing (Zhou et al., 2007; Wymer et al., 1996) and mechanosensitive calcium fluxes (Ding and Pickard, 1993) were affected. Moreover, the control of protoplast volume during the response to hyperosmotic stress relied on microtubule bundling (so-called microtubules; Komis et al., 2002). In cold sensing, which probably uses changes of membrane fluidity as a signal, microtubule dynamics were identified as a central component (Abdrakhkhananova et al., 2003). Microtubules might act as negative regulators (sphincters) of ion channel activity (in the case of cold sensing) or, in the case of mechanosensing, as stress-focusing elements (susceptors) that collect and convey membrane perturbations to a channel (reviewed in Nick, 2008). In contrast to a sphincter component (Abdrakhkhananova et al., 2003), microtubule elimination, but also by suppression of microtubule dynamics (rice coleoptiles; Godbole et al., 2000), cold sensing is elevated in winter wheat cultivars with increased microtubule dynamics (Abdrakhkhananova et al., 2003), cold acclimation is suppressed by taxol in spinach mesophyll (Bartolo and Carter, 1991), and spread of tobacco mosaic virus depends on microtubule dynamics (Ouko et al., 2010).

However, alternative scenarios for the role of the cytoskeleton during induction of defence genes (Fig. 4D) should also be considered. For instance, cytoskeletal disassembly might release transcription factors from retention in the cytoplasm such that they are then translocated into the nucleus and activate expression of their target genes (Chuong et al., 2004; for reviews, see Winder and Ayscough, 2005). In fact, signal-triggered translocation of a transcription factor could be demonstrated for the common plant regulatory factor 2 (CPRF2). This transcription factor is released by activation of phytochromes in parsley cell cultures (Kircher et al., 1999). In vivo marker lines for actin filaments and microtubules are presently being generated in the two grapevine cell lines that will help to address this alternative model in the future.

Mechanosensitive calcium channels have been reported to be specifically blocked by lanthanoid ions. The effect of Gd3+ ions on Harpin-induced alkalinization was therefore tested as a rapid readout (Supplementary Fig. S2 at JXB online), and a dose-dependent progressive inhibition of the pH response in cv. ‘Pinot Noir’ was observed, which was already manifest at the lowest concentration (20 μM) tested. This Gd3+ sensitivity is only slightly lower than the values found from patch–clamp measurements in onion scale epidermis (Ding and Pickard, 1993) and much higher than that reported for other plant tissues, such as adzuki bean hypocotyl (Ikushima and Shimmen, 2005). Interestingly, the alkalinization response in V. rupestris was much more resistant to Gd3+ inhibition. If one wants to link this difference to the reduced microtubule dynamics in V. rupestris, as manifest from the higher resistance to oryzalin (Supplementary Fig. S1) and the higher abundance of detyrosinated α-tubulin (Fig. 2G) this would support a role for stable microtubules as negative regulators of the putative calcium channels triggering defence. However, this preliminary evidence for a mechano-susceptor role for microtubules during the induction of plant defence certainly warrants a more thorough investigation in future work.

The finding that not only oryzalin but also taxol can induce the expression of defence genes in the absence of elicitor shows that it is not sufficient for microtubules to be present. In addition, they have to be dynamic in order to fulfil their role in gene expression. This finding is consistent with evidence from other microtubule-dependent signalling responses. For instance, the redistribution of auxin flow by gravitropic stimulation (which is also presumably triggered by stretch-activated ion channels) is blocked not only by microtubule elimination, but also by suppression of microtubule dynamics (rice coleoptiles; Godbole et al., 2000), cold sensing is elevated in winter wheat cultivars with increased microtubule dynamics (Abdrakhkhananova et al., 2003), cold acclimation is suppressed by taxol in spinach mesophyll (Bartolo and Carter, 1991), and spread of tobacco mosaic virus depends on microtubule dynamics (Ouko et al., 2010).

Outlook

Elicitors, which can trigger host resistance, are valuable tools to partially substitute pesticides. The corresponding receptors at the plasma membrane are gradually emerging (Zipfel et al., 2004). Type III secretion effectors that permeate the membrane and enter the cytoplasm would circumvent recognition by plasma membrane-localized receptors. However, since the cytoskeleton seems to be a major target for this type of effector proteins, and since the cytoskeleton acts as a regulator of mechanosensitive ion channels that can trigger plant defence, the intracellular interaction between the effector and cytoskeleton might be sensed by the host cell independently of (or in parallel with) recognition at the plasma membrane. Future work should therefore try to elucidate, on the one hand, the molecular interaction between microtubules and ion channels, and on the other the molecular interaction between type III effectors and the cytoskeleton. To dissect the chain of events, it will be necessary not only to follow cytoskeletal responses in vivo using appropriate fluorescent marker lines (to capture also the subtle and rapid changes of microtubules), but also to administer the elicitor to specific locations of the cell to investigate the spatial patterns of cellular responses.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Figure S1. The effect of oryzalin on cell growth. Oryzalin at 1, 10, and 20 μM was added at subcultivation. After 7 d,
the packed cell volume was measured and plotted relative to unchallenged control cells. Error bars represent the SE.

**Figure S2.** The effect of Gd\(^{3+}\) (an inhibitor of stretch-activated ion channels) extracellular alkalinization induced by Harpin. The cells were pre-incubated for 30 min with water or different concentrations of GdCl\(_3\) before 9 µg ml\(^{-1}\) Harpin were added.
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