Endogenous sequence patterns predispose the repair modes of CRISPR/Cas9-induced DNA double strand breaks in *Arabidopsis thaliana*
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SUMMARY

The possibility to predict the outcome of targeted DNA double strand break (DSB) repair would be desirable for genome editing. Furthermore the consequences of mis-repair of potentially cell-lethal DSBs and the underlying pathways are not yet fully understood. Here we study the CRISPR/Cas9-induced mutation spectra at three selected endogenous loci in Arabidopsis thaliana by deep sequencing of long amplicon libraries. Notably, we found sequence-dependent genomic features impacting the DNA repair outcome. Deletions of 1-<1000 bp size and/or very short insertions, deletions >1 kpb (all due to NHEJ) and deletions combined with insertions between 5->100 bp (caused by an SDSA-like mechanism) occurred most frequently at all three loci. The appearance of single strand annealing events depends on presence and distance between repeats flanking the DSB. The frequency and size of insertions is increased if a sequence with high similarity to the target site was available in cis. Most of deletions were linked with pre-existing microhomology. Deletion and/or insertion mutations were blunt end ligated or via de novo generated microhomology. While most mutation types and to some degree their predictability are comparable with animal systems, the broad range of deletion mutations seems to be a peculiar feature of the plant A. thaliana.
INTRODUCTION

The RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease from the bacterial clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) adaptive immune system provides a powerful and versatile tool for genome engineering of eukaryotic organisms, including plants (Ran, 2013, Bortesi and Fischer, 2015, Cao et al., 2016, Pacher and Puchta, 2016, Puchta, 2017). By simply designing a 20-nt targeting sequence of the guide RNA (Jinek et al., 2012, Cong et al., 2013, Mali et al., 2013), the CRISPR/Cas9 can be targeted to create chromosomal double-strand breaks (DSBs) at specific sites. To achieve a desired editing outcome, components of the appropriate DNA repair pathway need to be recruited. Recent investigation on DNA repair profiles of CRISPR/Cas9-induced DSB sites in the human genome demonstrates that resulting DNA repair outcomes are nonrandom and depend on the target site sequence (van Overbeek et al., 2016). Little is known about how the DNA sequence pattern of the target locus defines the suitable DNA repair machinery to fix the break or about whether one can precisely predict the DNA repair outcome of any designed CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing experiment.

In eukaryotic somatic cells, DSBs are typically repaired either by the non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) pathways (Lieber, 2010, Sfeir and Symington, 2015) or by the homology-directed repair (HDR) pathways (Puchta, 2005, Heyer et al., 2010, McVey et al., 2016). Non-homologous end-joining pathways require none or short homologies (1-20 bp) and cause deletions and/or insertions of, in many cases, a few bp only. However, (micro)homology-mediated synthesis-dependent (MM-SDSA-like) mechanism(s) can combine deletions with insertions (often copies of near-by sequences) (Gorbunova and Levy, 1997, Salomon and Puchta, 1998, Yu and McVey, 2010); both extending well into the kbp range in plants (Vu et al., 2014, [190x418], 2016).
Vu et al., 2017). In contrast, HDR requires extensive DNA end processing and an extended DNA sequence homologous to the target site as a template. While NHEJ is faster, more efficient and active throughout the cell cycle (Mao et al., 2008), HDR is likely more prevalent after DNA replication because of the presence of a sister chromatid as a repair template (Hustedt and Durocher, 2017). HDR can yield a sequence conversion via synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA) and/or a reciprocal exchange between identical (or homologous) double helices and typically restores the broken double strand without error. However, when homologous (repetitive) sequences are present in cis in the vicinity of the DSB site, a non-conservative HDR mechanism, the so-called single-strand annealing (SSA) pathway, can result in a large deletion comprising (at least) one of these homologous repeats and the sequence between them (Figure 1a). As demonstrated using I-Scel-meganuclease-based reporter systems, SSA (Siebert and Puchta, 2002), and SDSA (Puchta, 1998) pathways can be very efficient in somatic plant cells. In terms of application, SSA-based genome manipulation is important for excision of unwanted genomic fragments, marker genes, or transgenes (Aryan et al., 2013), while homologous recombination via SDSA enables gene replacement at chromosomal loci of at least partial sequence homology to the transgene (Moehle et al., 2007). The well-established CRISPR/Cas9-based technology facilitated gene replacement via homologous recombination in yeast, insect and other animal models and even in higher plants (Steinert et al., 2016), as well as gene editing (site-directed mutagenesis) in all tested plants (Bortesi and Fischer, 2015, Osakabe et al., 2016, Pacher and Puchta, 2016, Zhao et al., 2016, Shen et al., 2017). Nevertheless, more detailed knowledge is needed to understand and predict the modes of
CRISPR/Cas9-induced genome editing at distinct endogenous genomic positions in plant and other models.

By using amplicon deep sequencing, we previously explored the outcome of I-SceI-induced DSBs at three β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter transgene variants (GU.US, IU.GUS, and DU.GUS) (Puchta and Hohn, 2012) regarding the proportions of SSA, SDSA and NHEJ pathways, in barley and in Arabidopsis thaliana (Vu et al., 2014, Vu et al., 2017). Here we designed CRISPR/Cas9-mediated cleavage to detect, in addition to NHEJ and SDSA-like pathways, preferentially SSA (with two different spaces between homologous repeats) or SDSA events (involving ectopic homology) at distinct positions on A. thaliana chromosomes 3 and 5 (Figure 1). Pacbio-based DNA repair profiling shows a concordant result for endogenous and transgenic reporter sequences, i.e. similar mutation class frequencies. These data and the impact of the sequence context around the break sites which we found comparing the size and frequency of deletions and inversions between three different target sites suggest predictable outcomes of genome manipulation approaches. Comparing these results with the only other large repair profile data available (van Overbeek et al., 2016), suggests, in addition to predictability for both species, clear differences in DSB repair outcome between the plant species A. thaliana and human cells.

RESULTS

DNA sequence patterns around the break site define the repair profile of CRISPR/Cas9 induced DBSs

In Arabidopsis, SSA is the prevalent mode of repair for DSBs induced between direct repeats in the transgenic GU.US construct (Orel et al., 2003, Vu et al., 2017). To investigate how the DNA sequence patterns of endogenous loci impact the DNA
repair outcome, we designed CRISPR/Cas9-mediated cleavage between homologous repeats at different loci to examine endogenous repair profiles of CRISPR/Cas9 induced blunt-ended DSBs.

The first selected target site for DSB induction and subsequent SSA repair comprises intergenic sequences on *A. thaliana* chromosome 3, containing two directly adjacent repeats of 111 bp each (Figure 1 and S1, see Experimental Procedures). There are only two SNPs distinguishing both copies to ensure annealing between two single-stranded homologous DNA sequences (Supplementary Fig. S1). DSBs induced by CRISPR/Cas9 endonucleases were precisely at the connection between these two tandem repeats (Fig. 1). In order to validate the repair profile at this target site, we designed and massively sequenced by single molecule sequencing (PacBio) amplicon libraries of 1,770 bp and 2,994 bp which enable to detect deletions or insertions of more than 1,000 bp on either side of the break site, respectively (Fig. 1c). The results are merged in Supplemental Table 3.

Of in total 20,178 informative repair product sequences called “reads”, 18,694 (92.6%) represent the either un-cut or precisely restored original sequence. For the remaining 1,484 sequences, the mutation spectra of repair events were analyzed. We found that indeed SSA repair was favored, representing 1,203 (81.1%) of the mutated reads. This result is consistent with our previous observation that SSA is the predominant repair path for the GU.US construct in transgenic *A. thaliana* plants. Furthermore, we found 72 reads (4.85%), belonging to 15 out of in total 31 mutation classes (each class representing identical reads of a specifically mutated sequence), that showed deletions larger than 1,000 bp (ranging from 1,104 to 1,995 bp) (Supplementary Table S3; for the remaining mutated reads see below). This
observation provokes the question whether breaks between repeats separated by \( \sim 1 \) kbp also yield deletions of \( >1 \)kbp and which proportion of these deletions represent SSA events. For studying the potential effect of the distance between repeats flanking the DSB on the repair outcomes, we selected a second target site (target 2) located 297 kbp proximal to the first target on the chromosome 3. This site contains two direct repeats of 97 bp (with only one single mismatch nucleotide) on either side of the gene AT3g50755 (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig S2). The DSBs were induced within the AT3g50755 sequence just in the middle between the two repeats. In this situation, SSA repair should cause a deletion of 1,004 bp (Fig. S2). To assess the outcome of the DSB repair, again, the amplicon sequencing data from two libraries of 1,551 bp and 3,048 bp, respectively, were generated and analyzed (Fig 1C, merged results in Supplementary Table S4). Interestingly, of 9,355 imprecisely repaired sequence reads comprising 33 mutation classes, only 297 (3.2%), belonging to five mutation classes, showed deletions of \( >1,000 \) bp. Remarkably however, 268 of these were repaired by SSA events (Deletion 1,004 bp), while the other 29 reads are shared by four mutation classes. In general, the number of reads likely overestimates the number of events, because early events could via replication have amplified the corresponding sequence. On the other hand, the number of mutation classes underestimates the number of events concerning preferred pathways. The abundance of SSA reads exemplifies this, if their occurrence is not in both cases (target 1 and 2) by chance due to an extremely early event. While for target 2 29 reads of four mutation classes represent deletions \( >1,000 \) bp, the class of SSA (deleting 1,004 bp) comprises 268 reads. Assuming that the four large deletion classes, which are not due to SSA, represent one single event each would mean, on average \( \sim 7 \) reads (29 reads divided by 4 mutation classes) correspond to one event.
causing a deletion of >1000 bp. Under these circumstances, 38 or more SSA events would have occurred. For target 1, 25 mutation classes share 134 reads representing deletions. An average of about five reads per deletion event (134 divided by 25) would mean in target 1 ~53 SSA events might have occurred. Actually, for target 2 the frequency of SSA amounts to 2.9% of all mutated reads but to 90.2% of reads with deletion larger than 1,000 bp. These results indicate that the DNA repair outcome of well-designed CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing is predictable. A negative correlation between deletion size and frequency most likely limits the absolute (but not the relative) frequency of SSA repair events, due to an increasing requirement for end-resection with increasing distance between direct repeats flanking the broken locus. Taken together, a predisposition for distinct DSB repair modes in dependence of the sequence context at the target locus is given by the presence (and their distance) of repeats around the break (Fig. 2), as well as by an apparently species-specific deletion size range. A preference for larger deletions as displayed on average by A. thaliana compared to the situation observed for tobacco (Kirik et al., 2000), barley (Vu et al., 2017) or human cells (van Overbeek et al., 2016) enables a higher abundance of SSA between repeats over increasing distances.

Local homologous sequences initiate longer insertions

Of the 31 mutation classes found for the first target locus which was chosen for detection of SSA, 18 (58.1%) revealed insertions (3 classes with 1 bp inserted each) or insertions combined with deletion. For the second locus, with a distance of 907 bp between the repeats, 18 (54.4%) out of the 33 observed mutation classes revealed
either insertions (again 3 classes with one inserted bp) or insertions and deletions together. A detailed analysis of individual mutation classes revealed that the inserted sequences seemed to be templated by sequences near the break ends, thus representing repetitions of these sequences via synthesis primed by microhomology with the respective break end (Supplementary Table S3). The average insertion sizes calculated based on the number of repair classes are similar for both target sites (28.6 bp and 23.5 bp, respectively). There are only two mutation classes with insertions larger than 80 bp observed for each of these two targets. The maximum insertion size of 225 bp at target 1 (Deletion 1,920-Insertion 225, Table S3) was presumably templated entirely by the homologous sequence of the sister chromatid, because the 1,920 bp which were deleted from the mutated site included the 225 bp of the insertion.

To gain insight into the natural situation where an unbroken homologous sequence occurs on the same double helix (i.e. the same chromatid, see Fig. 1A) and could influence the repair outcome by interaction with the break ends, we used a CRISPR construct that generates a DSB within a 1,277 bp sequence (target 3). This sequence has a homologous copy of 1,432 bp, 1,816 bp apart, differing in size from the 1,277 bp by few indels (Supplementary Fig S3). In this target locus, the DSB is within a repeat sequence and not between the homologous repeats as in targets 1 and 2 (Fig. 1). We amplified repair products as libraries of 1,531 bp and 3,038 bp covering the break point. To find out how sequence homology is used as a template for repair synthesis in this situation, we sequenced in-depth the repair products from both libraries of the endogenous target locus 3 (merged results in Supplemental Table S5) in comparison to targeted loci 1 and 2.
Indeed, although the overall mutation types are similar as for target loci 1 and 2 (Fig. 3A), there are more mutations with insertions which resulted from SDSA-like type of repair (Fig. 3B). We found 52 (75.7%) out of 66 mutation classes with insertions (52 bp on average), among these, nine classes showed insertions >80 bp (Fig. 3C). The largest insert of 554 bp, originated via four copy switches combining five sequences from different positions (114 bp of the insertion overlap partially with the upstream part of the deleted region and are obviously copied from the homologous sequence of the sister chromatid; of the remaining 440 bp, 66 are from the targeted repeat downstream the deletion, while 339, 14 and 21 bp are from the ectopic copy, as recognized by the polymorphisms between both repeats). Compared with the other two loci, the insertions were larger in average and the number of mutation classes with large insertions was increased more than 2-fold (from ~6% to 13.6%). This is probably due to the presence of homology to the break end not only within the sister helix (which is available as repair template only between replication and nuclear division), but also in cis, (available as repair template all over the cell cycle). The genomic distribution of sequences homologous to the break site in cis significantly skews the size of insertions, further indicating that the sequence context around the break position influences the repair outcome (Fig. 3).

**Repair of endogenous loci predominantly depends on microhomology for tethering break ends**

Error-prone repair processes involve ligation of DNA ends which have lost and/or gained nucleotides prior to ligation. Repair outcomes at all three investigated targets demonstrate that NHEJ as well as HDR repair pathways can be highly mutagenic (Tables S3-5). We now focus on how the mutagenic break ends were rejoining.
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Typically, DNA break ends are resected creating single stranded overhangs. Short microhomologies on either single-stranded end may subsequently anneal to rejoin the break either by classical NHEJ (using 1-5 bp microhomology) or by microhomology-mediated end joining (MMEJ using 6-20 bp microhomology; Sfeir and Symington, 2015). The repair using pre-existing microhomologies after resection of blunt ends always creates products containing deletions. Of in total 11 mutation classes of target 1 containing only deletions (SSA excluded), 7 classes revealed 2-4 bp pre-existing microhomology for end-joining repair, and three mutant classes had 1 bp of homology. Similar results were obtained for target 2 and 3. Seven out of 14 (target 2) and 6 out of 14 classes (target 3) were joined using 2-4 bp microhomology. Smaller fractions (5 and 3 mutation classes) have been driven by 1 bp microhomology. Only one class at target 2 involved 9 bp microhomology for annealing. The remaining mutation classes with deletions (1 for targets 1 and 2, and 5 for target 3) revealed joined blunt ends or are based on de novo synthesis of microhomologies at the deleted end, generating complementarity to the opposite break end (Fig. 4A). In contrast to deletions linked with pre-existing microhomologies, the broken ends in mutation classes combining insertions (duplications of nearby flanking sequences) and deletions are either directly joined or via de novo synthesized microhomologies (Fig. 4B). We analyzed the potential use of nascent microhomologies of these remaining mutation classes at the three targets. Intriguingly, nearly all these mutation classes - if not blunt end joined - involved de novo synthesized microhomologies for annealing, as exemplified in Fig.4. For 124 of in total 131 investigated mutation classes of the three targets, we detected ligation linked with microhomology. Together, our data suggest that the utilization of (micro)homologies is predominant for end ligation of endogenous
breaks by NHEJ. While pre-existing microhomologies lead to only deletions, more complex alterations linking deletions with duplicative insertions of nearby sequences (Gorbunova and Levy, 1997) might be linked via de novo-generated microhomologies.

DISCUSSION

Target sequences potentially allow predictions regarding the outcome of DSB repair

From previous studies on transgenic reporter constructs in plants (Kirik et al., 2000, Vu et al., 2014, Vu et al., 2017) it is known that DSBs are frequently mis-repaired, for instance in A. thaliana, tobacco and in barley. In addition to specific SSA and SDSA events, the spectrum of deviations from the original sequence comprised base substitutions (very rare), deletions from 1 to >1,000 bp and small single insertions (mostly 1bp), the latter two mutation types due to NHEJ. An interesting class of events combined deletions of varying size with insertions also varying in size, but mostly <100 bp and clearly shorter than the deletions. This type was described for tobacco (Gorbunova and Levy, 1997, Salomon and Puchta, 1998) and is similar to a phenomenon reported for Drosophila (Yu and McVey, 2010). It starts with DNA synthesis at a (resected) break end, primed by microhomology, from a template near the break site. Then, homology ends abruptly (sometimes even template switching may occur) and the junction continues with the other break end after sealing by NHEJ. Such events do not restore the target locus based on homology. They were considered as ‘templated insertion’ (Yu and McVey, 2010, Shen et al., 2017) or as microhomology-mediated SDSA-like type of repair (Gorbunova and Levy, 1997,
Salomon and Puchta, 1998, Vu et al., 2014) which occur frequently in plants and apparently also in mammals (Hartlerode et al., 2016).

Now we have studied the repair products of targeted DSBs at three different endogenous loci within the A. thaliana genome which were selected to detect SSA (targets 1 and 2) and SDSA (target 3), in analogy to previous studies on the transgenic constructs GU.US (for detection of SSA) and IU/DU_GUS (for detection of SDSA).

Analysis of the mutated reads revealed that CRIPSPR/Cas9 induces the same mutation spectra at endogenous sequences as other site-specific endonucleases at transgenic target loci. Our main aim was to investigate the potential impact of sequence context on frequency and type of mutagenic repair pathways. The expected insight is of practical importance for genome editing approaches, because it is of interest to what degree the results of genome editing can be predicted on the basis of the target site sequence. A study with the same aim has recently been published for 223 human genomic positions in human cells (van Overbeek et al., 2016). These authors reported target-specific profiles of indel mutation classes which were highly reproducible for each target between different cell lines. Therefore they concluded that, even in the absence of (external) donor sequences, the repair outcome is not random, but is predictable for the target site by the protospacer sequence. The mutation classes revealed indels of <3 bp resulting from NHEJ to be dominant. Additionally, classes of larger deletions, likely resulting from MMEJ, were found. Deletions rarely exceeded 20 bp and insertions were seldom larger than 4 bp (1 bp insertions were predominant). However, the detection window was only 50 bp on either side of the break, therefore larger indels would have escaped from detections. In most experiments wild-type reads appeared at similar frequency as
mutated ones, but in a few cases wild-type reads were several-fold more abundant. Similar results were described for a smaller number of target sites in human cell lines by Tan et al. (2015).

Our comparison of mutation class frequency between the three target sites showed that deletions of varying size and very short insertions, both due to NHEJ, are most abundant for targets 1 and 2, followed by mutation classes resulting from the MM-SDSA-like pathway (Fig. 2). SSA events occur when the DSB is targeted between two repeats. This was previously found in transgenic constructs (GU.US) with a short distance between the repetitive sequences. Here we could show that the absolute frequency of SSA decreases with the distance between the repeats, i.e. the extension of end resection required for SSA. Nevertheless, the relative frequency of SSA among the reads with deletion of similar size is very high (>80%).

The actual frequency of SDSA at endogenous target sites is difficult to estimate, because SDSA products are indistinguishable from the original sequence if the identical repeat of the sister chromatid was the template. However the proportion of SDSA cannot exceed the proportion of reads with the original sequence. In our experimental system only SDSA events involving the ectopic repeat can be detected by the presence of SNPs in the reads, as in target 3. From this target we found indeed one mutation class (52) with 9 reads that restored the target sequence, deviating from the original one only by SNPs and indels within the undamaged ectopic template repeat (Fig 3B, Table S5). Remarkably, we uncovered two mutation classes (with two reads each) of incomplete SDSA in which most likely the newly synthesized strand was linked with the opposite break end by NHEJ, similar to ectopic or one-sided targeting (Puchta, 1999, Wendeler et al., 2015, Watanabe et al., 2016). Thus, between 0.14% to 26.8% of reads and 4.5% to 6% of mutation classes...
are based on SDSA, depending on whether only the ectopic sequence served as repair template, or all original sequence reads originated from SDSA too, using the identical sister sequence as template. In addition, 37 (56.1%) out of 66 mutation classes represent SDSA-like events of which the insertion from the sister or the ectopic repeat is flanked on either side by sequence deletion. Furthermore we discovered that extended homology to the break site in cis (target 3), which (in contrast to the sister chromatid) is available all over the cell cycle for repair synthesis, may serve as an additional potential template not only for the SDSA pathway, but in general for more (and longer) insertions compared to the situation where a DSB is located between two repetitive sequences (templates 1 and 2, Fig. 3C). Apparently, except for direct ligation, a DSB can be restored via SDSA or in a more degenerated version by SDSA which is only at one side homology-directed or, even more degenerating, by an SDSA-like mechanism. Alternatively, DSBs can be repaired yielding only deletions of different size based on none, short (NHEJ, MMEJ) or extended (SSA) homology on either break end. Which of the different repair pathways is favored is apparently predisposed by the sequence context at the target locus.

**Some repair pathways might be intrinsic for all target positions of an organism**

In spite of the predictable DSB repair outcome regarding SSA or SDSA, which is linked with the repeat composition of the target locus, the abundance of very short insertions, and of deletions below or above 1kb is remarkably similar between the target positions (Fig. 2, 3AB). Although only few species are investigated regarding preferential deletion and/or insertion sizes, it is tempting to speculate that specific
modes of DSB repair are typical for (groups of) organisms. For instance, a bias of DSB repair either towards deletions in organisms with very small (<500 Mbp) genomes (Ibarra-Laclette et al., 2013, Vu et al., 2015) or towards insertions within expanding genomes has been hypothesized (Kirik et al., 2000, Schubert and Vu, 2016). In fact, A. thaliana displayed a clear net loss of DNA during DSB repair, while for the 35-fold larger barley genome loss and gain of DNA were nearly balanced (Vu et al., 2017).

Open questions:

Recent studies found DNA polymerase theta (pol θ) to be responsible for microhomology-mediated and aborted homology-directed repair in nematods (Koole et al., 2014) and in mammalian cells (Wyatt et al., 2016). An ortholog of pol θ was found in A. thaliana to be involved in T-DNA integration and templated insertion at T-DNA integration sites (van Kregten et al., 2016). The possibility that pol θ acts as a component of MM-SDSA-like error-prone DSB repair, which competes with or substitutes the more correct homology-directed mechanisms remains to be proven experimentally.

Whether individual mutation classes (except SSA) which display very high read numbers (~1000 or more) in one of the target sites (for target 2: class 3 = D1, class 14 = D1-I20, class 24 = D51, class 31 = D581; for target 3: class 5 = D3-I1, class 35 = D24-I21, class 61 = D28, see tables S4 and S5) represent random events which occurred very early in development and were amplified by cell divisions, or are frequent and predictable sequence-specific events as the cases observed in human cells (van Overbeck et al. 2016), remains to be clarified in future experiments.
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The amplicon libraries revealed for the three target sites different proportions of reads with the original sequence. While target 2 showed 16.6% and target 3 26.8%, target 1 displayed 92.6% of original sequence reads. Because it is not yet possible to assess directly to which proportion the non-mutated reads represent uncut or precisely repaired sequences, it remains unclear whether target 1 was less efficiently targeted by the endonuclease, or more efficiently repaired in an error-free manner (by either direct ligation, SDSA or sister chromatid exchange) than targets 2 and 3.

So far we found no sequence peculiarity (e.g. varying GC content) that would hint to potential differences between the target loci regarding euchromatic or heterochromatic features, which could be responsible for an altered CRISPR/Cas9 accessibility due to different amounts of methylated C. This is also in line with a recent study in Arabidopsis where no major differences in the repair patterns of paired nicks induced by the CRISPR/Cas system were found between eu- and heterochromatic sites (Schiml et al., 2016). An occasional over-dominance of wild-type sequence was also found among reads from individual target sequences in human cell lines (van Overbeek et al., 2016). Thus it remains to be solved in future whether there is a tendency for correct restoration of the original sequences at (a) specific genomic context(s) and, if yes, which pathway (direct ligation, SDSA or sister chromatid exchange) is involved.

**Conclusions**

The presented results led to the following conclusions: i) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated blunt-end DSBs at endogenous loci cause similar mutations as I-SceI-mediated DSBs with staggered ends at transgenic loci. ii) The relative frequency of some
mutation classes is similar between different target loci (Figs. 2 and 3A). iii) The size of DSB-mediated deletions and insertions seems to be species-specific and genome-size dependent (Vu et al. 2017). iv) The sequence context (presence and arrangement of repeats) around the break has an impact on the repair pathway used, and thus, on the outcome of DSB repair. v) DSBs between repetitive sequences facilitate SSA events resulting in larger deletions; their absolute (but not relative) frequency decreases with the distance between repeats. vi) Frequency and size of insertions caused by an SDSA-like mechanism increase when breaks occur within a repeat, and a second copy is available in cis. vii) Most of the mutagenic repair events use pre-existing or (possibly) de novo-generated (micro)homology at the resected break ends. viii) Whether (a) distinct NHEJ- and SDSA-like-based mutations are predictable, (b) which enzymatic device is responsible for SDSA-like events in plants, and (c) to what degree original sequences reflect uncut positions or rather correct repair by direct ligation, SDSA or cross-over-like events remains to be solved in future.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Genomic loci selection for the investigation of DNA repair outcomes
To investigate endogenous repair profiles of CRISPR/Cas9 induced DSBs, we screened the A. thaliana genome sequence and selected three distinct genomic loci. Target 1 represents the intergenic region between genes At3g51870 and At3g51880 of chromosome 3 (Fig.1 and Supplementary Fig. S1). This target was selected according to criteria which ensure optimal conditions for SSA repair such as: (1) containing two directly repeats (>80bp) with a distance less than 100 bp between
them, (2) containing ≤3 SNP between the repeated copies, (3) a suitable protospacer can be designed to induce a DSBs between the two repeats. The second target located on the same chromosome between At3g50751 and At3g08155 was selected to test for SSA repair, however, different from target 1, end resection of >1,000 bp is required for SSA repair in this case (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S2). Target 3 was selected and designed to test for the HDR repair pathway SDSA. This target is located at a distal position on chromosome 5. The breakpoint is targeted within one of two repeats, 1,277 and 1,432 bp in length, which are 1,816 bp distant from each other and differ by several SNPs and indels responsible for the length difference (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S3).

**T-DNA constructs and plant transformation**

Recombinant CRISPR/Cas9 and sgRNA constructs were designed for unique genomic positions and cloned as described (Fauser et al., 2014). Protospacers (Table S1) were ligated into pEn-Chimera. Respective expression cassettes for sgRNAs were transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain NEB5α and then transferred into pDE-pUbi-Cas9 by Gateway cloning. Finally, three T-DNA constructs were generated. *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants of ecotype Columbia-0 were transformed with T-DNAs (*Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101) containing respective nuclease expression cassettes, using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998).
Plant selection

The Cas9 and sgRNA constructs were stably transformed into *A. thaliana* plants in order to obtain transgenic plant lines to address specifically each of the three selected targets. Transformed T1 plants for each construct were grown and selected on MS media (4.9 g/L Murashige and Skoog medium, 10 g/L sucrose, and 8 g/L agar, pH 5.7) containing 15 mg/L phosphinothricin and 0.5 g/L cefotaxime. A batch of 30 primarily transformed 10 days-old seedlings for each construct was pooled for DNA isolation.

Pacbio amplicon library preparation

DNA was isolated from 30 pooled T1 plants for each of 3 targeted lines using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). Two amplicon libraries with different primer distances from the presumed breakpoint were prepared for each target line (Fig. 1). Thus, in total, six Pacbio amplicon libraries from the three T1 CRISPR/Cas9 T-DNA lines were constructed (Fig. 1). PCR for amplification of the respective sgRNA target sites using a BioMix kit (Bioline) was performed with barcode-tailed PCR primers shown in Supplemental Table S2. The following program was used for amplification: 3 min denaturation at 94°C, 25 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C, and 1 min 20 s or 2 min 40 s at 72°C (depending on the length of amplicons), and final extension of 7 min at 72°C. Pre-washed AMPure XP magnetic beads (1.8X volume) were used to purify DNA amplicons. Library preparation followed the guidelines for SMRT® library preparation using the standard SMRTbell adapters which are subsequently ligated to the barcoded amplicons.
Deep sequencing analysis for DNA repair outcomes

The sequences were analysed as described (Vu et al., 2017). Amplicon sequencing was performed on a Pacific Biosciences RSII system (MPIPZ Cologne, Germany). Sequence data analysis was performed with the USEARCH version 8.0.1623 (Edgar, 2010) for clustering and with ClustalW for sequence alignment. In brief, reads were clustered at ≥99% sequence similarity. Chimeric reads and reads appearing only once (presumably resulting from PCR errors) were filtered out. The remaining reads were aligned against the original sequence to record polymorphisms. Reads deviating from the original sequence and containing at least 10 bp between both PCR primer sequences were considered as informative. For each respective target sequence, the original sequence (uncut or precisely repaired) and all mutation types (deletions, insertions, substitutions) were recorded and analysed regarding the respective repair path of their origin.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *A. thaliana* loci studied for the repair products of Cas9-mediated DSBs (A) Scheme of DSB repair by SSA (targets 1 and 2) and SDSA (target 3) pathways at selected loci. (B) Chromosomal positions of the three target loci for DSB induction within the *A. thaliana* genome. (C) The sgRNAs (PAM underlined) designed for the 3 targets, DSBs = red triangles, grey bars = coding sequences (at3g51870; at3g51880; at3g50750; at3g50751; at5g01320; at5g01330), white boxes = repeats, double arrows = amplicons for sequencing.

Figure 2. Predictability of DSB repair pathways depending on the sequence context. For both targets the proportions of reads with only insertions, deletions <1kbp or >1kbp are shown in different variants of grey, the relative contribution of different repair types within the deletion fractions harboring SSA events in different color. While the proportions of only small insertions as well as of deletions below and above 1 kbp are rather similar, a negative correlation between deletion size and frequency most likely limits the absolute (but not the relative) frequency of SSA repair events in case of target 1 (DSB between adjacent tandem repeats) compared to target 2 (DSB between two repeats 997 bp apart from each other).

Figure 3. The sequence context at the targets site affects the outcome of DSB repair. (A) The proportions of reads with only insertions, deletions <1kbp or >1kbp are shown in different variants of grey. The repair outcome at target 3 is similar to target 1 and 2 concerning the deletion size and mutations with insertion only,
however, (B) the sequences homologous to the break site in cis significantly increased the mutation classes with insertions resulting from SDSA and SDSA-like mechanisms (the relative contribution of different repair types are shown in different color). (C) Frequency and size of mutation classes with insertions of the three targets. X-axis: number of mutation classes; Y-axis: insertion sizes in bp during imprecise repair; Z-axis: the three investigated target sites (blue = target 1, red = target 2, green = target 3). Extended homology in cis of the target site (as in target 3) is usable as a template for repair synthesis and initiates more and longer insertions than in targets 1 and 2, where the breakpoint does not reveal homology in cis.

Figure 4. Examples of DSB repair by NHEJ and by SDSA-like repair, respectively.

(A) Mutation class 26 of target 2: NHEJ after 313 bp deletion (308 bp on the left and 5 bp on the right) using de novo generated microhomology. The 3’ end at the right break site unwinds, forms a loop, extends from G for TTC (= de novo generated microhomology) and re-anneals with the GAA overhang of the resected left break site before ligation. Alternatively, one has to assume blunt end ligation as the second step after deletion.

(B) Mutation class 17 of target 1: SDSA-like repair after 1,346 bp deletion (position 444 to 1791; 767 bp on the left and 579 bp on the right). The right 3’ end nucleotids TG invade the sister helix opposite the deleted region and re-synthesize 27 bp (green); the last two nucleotids AA undergo a copy switch and re-synthesize 10 bp (brown) in cis (or in trans) generating de novo 2 bp microhomology before re-annealing with the resected left break end TA, gap filling and subsequent ligation.
The SDSA-like mechanism creates an insertion of 35 bp and sequence duplication of 12 bp (underlined). Alternatively, after the copy switch only 8 bp were re-synthesized before blunt end ligation.
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