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Abstract

Govindjee and Hartmut Lichtenthaler have a very similar curriculum vitae. Both chose photosynthesis as research field and actively applied chlorophyll fluorescence. Their research was overlapping and complementary. On the occasion of Govindjee’s 88th anniversary in 2020, Hartmut Lichtenthaler gives a short retrospective on interactions and joint activities with Govindjee over the past five decades.

Additional key words: Andy Benson; chlorophyll fluorescence decrease ratio; chlorophyll fluorescence imaging; Constantin Rebeiz; Hans Kautsky; Robert Emerson.

Introduction

Govindjee, born in 1932 in Allahabad, India, received his Ph.D. at the University of Illinois, Urbana, in 1960 with Eugene Rabinowitch, and I, Hartmut Lichtenthaler, born two years later in 1934, received my Ph.D. at the University of Heidelberg, Germany, in 1961 with August Seybold known for his detailed analysis of the chlorophylls and individual carotenoids in green leaves (Seybold and Egle 1937). Although coming from quite different parts of the world, we both chose photosynthesis as our main research field and dealt with related topics, especially with the light-induced chlorophyll a fluorescence as means to analyze and understand the photosynthetic processes of green plants and to detect stress conditions.

The annual ASPP meeting in 1963 in Amherst

Govindjee and I met first in 1963 at the annual ASPP (American Society of Plant Physiology, now Biology) meeting held in Amherst, Massachusetts, from 26 to 29 August. We both were young scientists, presenting our newest research findings. He had worked with Eugene Rabinowitch (during 1958–1960) at the University of Illinois, Urbana, and had just been appointed assistant professor there. I worked from 1962–1964 as research fellow in Melvin Calvin’s laboratory in Berkeley, California. In the ‘Photosynthesis Session’ of that meeting, Govindjee talked about chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence induction kinetics, the existence of two light reactions and their meaning for photosynthetic quantum conversion. His results were already in press and appeared in the same year (Govindjee 1963). He spoke very fast and, like most people in the audience, I did not realize the real meaning of these Chl fluorescence data. In fact, this field of photosynthesis research was practically new to almost all of the participants. In the same session, shortly after Govindjee, I reported on the chlorophyll, carotenoid, and prenylquinone (plastoquinone-9, phylloquinone vitamin K1) composition of chloroplasts and their smallest units, then termed ‘quantasomes’. My findings were also in press (Lichtenthaler and Park 1963, Lichtenthaler and Calvin 1964).

This Photosynthesis Session in Amherst involved only about 25 people, among them were well-known photosynthesis researchers, such as the Nobel Laureate of 1961, Melvin Calvin (1911–1997), Hans Gafron (1902–1979), and Eugene Rabinowitch (1901–1973). Arthur Galston (1920–2008), then President of ASPP, participated as well. Part of the open informal discussion in this session dealt with the possible existence of two photosynthetic light reactions, a concept that was in progress and not yet fully accepted at that time. That Chl fluorescence would become a major technique to study photosynthetic processes and the two photosystems, could not be anticipated at that time.
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The early chlorophyll fluorescence measurements

In 1931, the chemist and former painter Hans Kautsky (1891–1966), while working at the University of Heidelberg, detected and described in German the red chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence induction kinetics in green plant tissue and correctly characterized the fast fluorescence rise, followed by a slow decrease to a very low steady-state fluorescence in all the details and variations due to e.g., light intensity and temperature (Kautsky and Hirsch 1931). And above all, this was done with bare eyes, in a darkened room. These authors correctly attributed the fluorescence decrease to the onset of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation (‘Kohlensäureassimilation’) and showed that it was blocked by hydrogen cyanide. In this paper, they also stated that “the larger the proportion of absorbed radiation converted into chemical energy, the lower the Chl fluorescence intensity in the steady state”. In the following years, Kautsky and co-workers published several other papers on the Chl fluorescence induction kinetics (see the review by Lichtenthaler 1992). Yet only in 1943, in the paper published together with his Ph.D. student Ulrich Franck, then working at the University of Leipzig, Kautsky presented evidence that the photosynthetic light reactions not only cause an increase but also a decrease of Chl fluorescence (Kautsky and Franck 1943). In this paper (his XIIth communication), again written in German, Kautsky stated for the first time that during the process of photosynthesis “two light reactions succeed one another almost immediately” (in German: “dass zwei Lichtreaktionen fast unmittelbar aufeinanderfolgen”). After a 17-year break, in his Chl fluorescence publications, due to World War II, Kautsky in 1960 summarized his Chl fluorescence results, obtained since 1955 at the University of Marburg, in a review. In this paper (his XIIIth and last communication on Chl fluorescence), he emphasized again that two consecutive light reactions worked in photosynthesis (Kautsky et al. 1960). I attach here a picture of Hans Kautsky at the age of about 60 years (Fig. 1). This picture is a gift of my colleague, the chemist Prof. Gerhard Fritz (1919–2002), Karlsruhe, who was a Ph.D. student and scientific assistant of Hans Kautsky at the University of Marburg, Germany, in the years before Kautsky's retirement in 1959.

Kautsky's early research on Chl fluorescence and CO₂ assimilation of 1931 to 1937 had inspired other scientists such as Stacy French (1907–1995) and James Franck (1882–1964) (Franck et al. 1941), and E. C. Wassink (Wassink and Katz 1939). These scientists measured and described many further details of the Chl fluorescence induction kinetics in leaves and algae and their dependence on various factors, but did not state or mention photochemical light reactions. In the late 1950s, Kautsky's original findings on Chl fluorescence, published between 1931 and 1943, seemed to have been more or less forgotten. But this field of Chl fluorescence research was independently and successfully restarted by Govindjee as shown below, and both with and after him also by Louis N. M. Duyssen (Duyssens and Sweers 1963) and various other researchers, including Warren L. Butler (Butler 1962).

In 1957, Robert Emerson (1903–1959) had made his essential observation on the enhancement of photosynthesis in far-red light by additional light of shorter wavelengths, which became known as ‘Emerson enhancement effect’ (Emerson et al. 1957). Govindjee, who had been a graduate student of Robert Emerson at the University of Illinois, started there his Chl fluorescence investigations of photosynthesis, which he continued even after Emerson's early death in 1959 in the laboratory of Eugene Rabinowitch. He studied the two-light effect via Chl fluorescence by combining far-red light with shorter wave light on the excitation of Chl fluorescence in Chlorella and clearly discovered the existence of two separate photosystems (Govindjee et al. 1960). This was summarized in his further paper ‘Emerson enhancement effect and two light reactions in photosynthesis’ (Govindjee 1963). His essential findings were clearly recognized by Duyssens and Sweers (1963) who wrote in their paper that “the first experimental suggestion of a different effect on fluorescence of two light beams of different colors was obtained by Govindjee et al. (1960)”. In this paper, Duyssen also acknowledged Kautsky's last findings of 1960 on two consecutive photosynthetic light reactions by mentioning “a recent paper of Kautsky et al. 1960 contains a scheme which is formally similar to ours”.

When Govindjee had started his Chl fluorescence investigations in Emerson's and later in Rabinowitch's laboratory, he did not know about the investigations of Kautsky. Being asked on this point he answered me “Kautsky’s observations on chlorophyll fluorescence were mentioned only casually as simply old fashioned observations, because the Rabinowitch Lab was already into making nanosecond lifetime fluorescence measurements and absolute quantum yield of fluorescence. Then, we had visitors like Stacy French (1907–1995) who had done much advanced work together with James Franck”.

Via his sensitive absorption spectroscopy and action spectra investigations in 1961, Louis Duyssens (1921–2015) had excellently documented the light-induced reduction and oxidation of cytochrome (Duyssens et al. 1961; also see Blankenship 2014, pages 35 and 36) and thus proved that there are two photosynthetic light reactions. These essential...
results were further supplemented by his following paper including Chl fluorescence measurements (Duyssens and Sweers 1963). Many years later in 1986, I participated on a photosynthesis workshop in the Netherlands, where Louis Duyssens (Govindjee and Pulles 2016) gave an after-dinner talk. He was introduced as ‘the detector of the two light reactions in photosynthesis’. Duyssens immediately answered on this introduction with the admission that, when he started his investigations in the 1950s, he had read the early work of Kautsky and had been influenced in his research by Kautsky’s statement of two light reactions in photosynthesis. He admitted that “somehow I had pushed this aside in my mind when I published my results in 1961”.

Govindjee extended and continued his promising Chl fluorescence research started in the late 1950s in many different ways and approaches including low temperature fluorescence spectroscopy (see e.g., Eaton-Rye 2019). In fact, Chl fluorescence kept him busy his full lifetime as active researcher and made him to one of the leading experts on Chl fluorescence. His success and high international recognition were essentially promoted by his open and communicative way to discuss with other researchers and by his worldwide scientific cooperation.

The First International Photosynthesis Congress 1968 in Freudenstadt

In 1968, Helmut Metzner (1925–1999), of Tübingen, initiated and organized the first International Photosynthesis Congress, from June 4–8, in Freudenstadt in the Black Forest, Germany. This was the first international meeting after World War II, where scientists from the East and the West came together and for the first time could discuss and exchange their recent photosynthetic research results. Leading photosynthesis researchers participated, such as Alexander A. Krasnowsky (1913–1993), Moscow, Melvin Calvin (1911–1997), Berkeley, and Trevor Goodwin (1916–2008), Wales, as well as many younger scientists and also Zdeněk Šesták (1932–2008), who in 1967 in Prague had founded the journal Photosynthetica (Govindjee et al. 2002, Lichtenthaler 2018). In fact, this meeting was the starting point for international cooperation that continued for the next decades.

Govindjee had been invited to present his newest Chl fluorescence results, both on the second (Munday and Govindjee 1969) and the minute time scale (Papageorgiou and Govindjee 1969) and their relation to the functioning of the two photosystems. In turn, I reported the Chl and prenylquinone composition of the two photosystems presenting first evidence that phylloquinone K1 was bound to photosystem I particles (Lichtenthaler 1969), later described in full detail (see Interschick-Niebler and Lichtenthaler 1981).

Further contacts with Govindjee in the 1970s and 1980s

My interest in Chl fluorescence started in summer 1969. After a lecture I had given at the University of Aachen, Germany, I had the chance to talk to the physical chemist Prof. Ulrich Franck, Kautsky’s former Ph.D. student of 1943, and his co-worker Ulrich Schreiber on their detailed measurements of Chl fluorescence as indicator of the photochemical primary processes of photosynthesis (Franck et al. 1969). Shortly later in 1970, when I was appointed full professor at the University of Karlsruhe, Germany, I broadened my photosynthesis research and started to apply Chl fluorescence kinetics as a measure to gain quick, nondestructive and reliable information on the photosynthetic CO2 assimilation rates of leaves. Applying it first in our herbicide research we could show that the slow decrease of the Chl fluorescence from Fm to the steady state F0 was blocked by the new herbicide bentazon which acted in the same way as diuron (Pfister et al. 1974), the target of both herbicides being the Qb-protein as was shown later by several groups. In addition and based on Kautsky’s observation (Kautsky and Hirsie 1931), I concentrated on the relative amounts of the Chl fluorescence decrease Fs, which was higher in sun than that in shade leaves and reflected the higher photosynthetic activity of sun leaves as compared to shade leaves. In the mid-1970s, Govindjee and I met again, this time on an international photochemistry conference in Germany, where we talked about our complementary Chl fluorescence findings and our ideas for future research.

Concerning the application of Chl fluorescence, Govindjee and most other researchers continued their research by mainly focusing on ‘Photosystem II’ and dealing with details of the light-induced fluorescence rise kinetics (O-J-I-P rise) and their variation and modification by various external and internal factors and chemical compounds such as shown in a more recent paper (Stirbet and Govindjee 2011). In this context, see also the review of Lichtenthaler et al. (1998) (particularly Fig. 3 on page 275). In contrast, I concentrated on the slow decrease of Chl fluorescence from the maximum Fm to the steady-state fluorescence F0. Here I introduced the ratio of the fluorescence decrease Fs (from Fm to F0) to the steady-state fluorescence F0, i.e., the Rst ratio (Fs/F0) (see Fig. 2, upper part), the values of which directly correlated with the photosynthetic CO2 assimilation rates of leaves (Fig. 2, lower part). The photosynthetic rates (Ps) of shade leaves were in the range of 0.1 to 3.8 and those of sun leaves in the range of 4.8 to 12 μmol(CO2) m−2 s−1. I fact, the Rst ratio proved to be an excellent indicator of stress conditions in plants (e.g., Lichtenthaler and Rinderle 1988, Lichtenthaler and Babani 2004, Lichtenthaler et al. 2005a).

Our exchange was intensified in August 1983 at the 6th International Photosynthesis Congress in Brussels organized by Chris Sybesma (see Vredenberg and Govindjee 2020) and shortly afterwards on the conference ‘Herbicides Active in Chloroplasts’ in Wageningen, Netherlands. In fact, the application of Chl a fluorescence in the detection of new herbicide compounds binding to the Qb-protein of ‘Photosystem II’ was a major topic of that conference. I remember well the informal and good discussion we had in the evening, together with other colleagues, in the bar at the top of the university tower there. Govindjee and I had always respected one another and our science approaches,
and we became close friends. Since then we had regular contact, and we met on various other congresses and meetings of our worldwide photosynthetic community. Chl fluorescence and its application in photosynthesis research remained Govindjee's and my major research topic. In fact, our individual Chl fluorescence publications were complementary and would influence or even stimulate the other one of us to new research approaches or activities.

Like me, Govindjee has had much interest in historical matters of photosynthesis research. When I realized that most of the research papers of Hans Kautsky were not known to the people working on Chl fluorescence, since all of these were written in German language, I wrote a detailed review on all Chl fluorescence papers published by Hans Kautsky and coworkers between 1931 and 1960, just concentrating on Kautsky's essential findings. The title was 'The Kautsky effect: 60 years of chlorophyll fluorescence induction kinetics' (Lichtenthaler 1992). Govindjee was in some way stimulated by this article and some years later published his review ‘63 years since Kautsky: Chlorophyll a fluorescence’ (Govindjee 1995) then reporting on the major findings by him and others since the early times of Kautsky.

In 1988, I had organized the ‘First International Symposium on Chlorophyll Fluorescence’ in Bad Honnef, Germany. The major contributions of this meeting were published in the book ‘Applications of Chlorophyll Fluorescence in Photosynthesis Research, Stress Physiology, Hydrobiology and Remote Sensing’ (Lichtenthaler 1988). This book as well as the comprehensive review on the application of Chl fluorescence in photosynthesis research and its successful application in stress detection of plants (Lichtenthaler and Rinderle 1988) considerably stimulated and enhanced the application of Chl fluorescence techniques by other research groups as did several reviews of Govindjee and the book that he had edited together with two colleagues in 1986 (Govindjee et al. 1986). Moreover, in 2004, Govindjee, together with George Papageorgiou, edited the book ‘Chlorophyll a fluorescence, a signature of photosynthesis’ (Papageorgiou and Govindjee 2004) and, of course, I was invited to contribute a major article (Lichtenthaler and Babani 2004).

Activities after our retirement

Govindjee retired and became emeritus professor in 1999, and I became emeritus professor in 2001. In the following years, we both remained active in research and publishing, again with similar and parallel activities. Govindjee became interested in fluorescence lifetime imaging and applied fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (Holub et al. 2000, 2007; Matsubara et al. 2011). In 1983, Govindjee had become associate editor of the journal ‘Photosynthesis Research’, acted as co-editor-in-chief from 1985 until 1988, yet thereafter he remained editor of the ‘Historical Corner’, which he had already started in this journal in 1980. After his retirement, he intensified his activity as excellent editor of historical perspectives of Photosynthesis Research. In this function, he wrote many tributes for colleagues who had passed away and had essentially contributed to our present knowledge of photosynthesis and related topics in plant biochemistry. Several of these tributes are mentioned in this report. In addition, he remained editor of the Series ‘Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration’, which he had founded in 1994.

In turn, I intensified the imaging of Chl fluorescence and photosynthetic activity of whole leaves (Lichtenthaler and Miehé 1997) and further developed and simplified this technique for routine application (Lichtenthaler et al. 2000, 2005a,b). With each Chl fluorescence image several thousand Chl fluorescence pixels of each leaf were simultaneously measured at Chl fluorescence maximum $F_{\text{m}}$, and then again several minutes (usually 5 min) after onset of saturating illumination at steady fluorescence $F_{\text{s}}$. The corresponding images of the $R_{\text{fl}}$ values (Fig. 3) revealed large gradients in $R_{\text{fl}}$ values and correspondingly in the photosynthetic CO$_2$ assimilation rates $P_{\text{a}}$ over different parts of the leaf area, both in sun and shade leaves of the beech. Such large gradients were not known or anticipated before. The $R_{\text{fl}}$ images shown in Fig. 3 clearly demonstrate the higher $R_{\text{fl}}$ values in sun

![Fig. 2. Upper part: Light-induced chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence induction kinetics (Kautsky effect) in pre-darkened green leaves measured at light saturation. Lower part: Linear correlation of the chlorophyll fluorescence decrease ratio $R_{\text{fl}}$ with the photosynthetic net CO$_2$ fixation rate ($P_{\text{a}}$) at light saturation shown here for sun and shade leaves of several trees. $F_{\text{m}}$ – ground chlorophyll fluorescence; $F_{\text{d}}$ – Chl fluorescence decrease from maximum to the steady state; $F_{\text{m}}$ – maximum Chl fluorescence; $F_{\text{s}}$ – steady state Chl fluorescence; $F_{\text{v}}$ – variable Chl fluorescence; $R_{\text{fl}}$ – Chl fluorescence decrease ratio $F_{\text{d}}/F_{\text{s}}$. (Based on Lichtenthaler and Rinderle 1988, Lichtenthaler et al. 2004, 2005b).]
Fig. 3. Images of the chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence decrease ratio \( R_{\text{Fd}} \), a measure of the net photosynthesis rates \( P_{\text{n}} \), of a sun (A) and a shade leaf (B) of beech (Fagus sylvatica L.). The Chl fluorescence decrease ratio images \( R_{\text{Fd}} \) were determined from the Chl fluorescence images taken first at \( F_a \) and then at \( F_c \). The Chl fluorescence images at \( F_c \) were measured 5 min after onset of continuous illumination of the pre-darkened leaves. As a consequence, the \( R_{\text{Fd}} \) values were termed here \( R_{\text{Fd}} (5) \). The measurements were performed with the Karlsruhe Flash-Lamp Fluorescence Imaging System (FL-FIS) at the red Chl fluorescence maximum near 690 nm. \( F_a \) – Chl fluorescence decrease from maximum to the steady state; \( F_c \) – maximum Chl fluorescence; \( F_i \) – steady state Chl fluorescence; \( R_{\text{Fd}} \) – Chl fluorescence decrease ratio \( F_a/F_c \). (Based on Lichtenthaler et al. 2000, Lichtenthaler and Babani 2004)

as compared to shade leaves, as had been demonstrated before via Chl fluorescence measurements of individual points of sun and shade leaves (see Fig. 2, lower part). In addition, during a visit of the experimental research station in the Czech Beskydy Mountains I measured together with Czech colleagues images of the fluorescence decrease ratio \( R_{\text{Fd}} \) images) of sun and shade leaves of four tree species (Lichtenthaler et al. 2007). By combining different parts from several instruments, being available at the research station, we had spontaneously composed a functioning Chl fluorescence imaging system to be applied for this research. Moreover, I remained co-editor of ‘Plant Physiology and Biochemistry’ and continued to be active on the Board of several plant physiology journals as well as of Photosynthetica from 1991 to 2005. Occasionally I could contribute to the tributes written by Govindjee, e.g., to that of René Marcolle, the first editor-in-chief of Photosynthesis Research (Govindjee and Marcolle 2016), whom in 1980 I had assisted, already beforehand and then as Board Member, to successfully start and run the new journal ‘Photosynthesis Research’. I also continued to write on historical matters in plant physiology, such as the history of the Federation of European Societies of Plant Physiology (FESPP) (Lichtenthaler 2004a) and of the International Symposia on Plant Lipids, ISPL (Lichtenthaler 2004b). Moreover, for several journals I started to write very personal tributes for colleagues of our photosynthetic community, who had passed away, e.g., Zdeněk Šesták, the founder of the journal Photosynthetica (Lichtenthaler 2018) and Roland Douce, who is well-known for his research on the chloroplast envelope (Joyard and Lichtenthaler 2019) and also Peter Böger, Konstanz (Lichtenthaler 2016). Here, I followed the excellent examples that Govindjee had written in a very personal way about other colleagues.

Contacts during the Symposium on Chloroplast Bioengineering

In May 2005, Constantin (Tino) Rebeiz (1936–2019), well-known for his excellent research on chlorophyll biosynthesis (Rebeiz 2013, Govindjee et al. 2020a), organized together with colleagues the ‘International Symposium on Chloroplast Engineering’ in Urbana-Champaign, Illinois. In this symposium, I was involved as co-organizer being responsible for and leading the session on isoprenoid lipids and the new plastidic DOXP/MEP isoprenoid biosynthesis pathway detected by my group (see Lichtenthaler et al. 1997, Lichtenthaler 1999). The major contributions of this symposium were later published in the book ‘The Chloroplast, Basics and Applications’ with Tino Rebeiz as editor and me and several other colleagues as co-editors (Rebeiz et al. 2010). This book was published by Springer, Dordrecht, as volume 31 of Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration, with Govindjee as ‘Series Editor’. This shed’s light onto another major activity of Govindjee, i.e., being a passionate and sophisticated editor, always engaged to make new scientific results public to the colleagues of our large worldwide science community. In addition, I need to mention here that Govindjee gave at that symposium an excellent presentation on the now fully established two photosynthetic light and associated electron transport reactions leading to ATP and NADPH formation. Which enormous progress had been made by a multiple action of many well-known photosynthesis researchers, including Govindjee’s and my contributions, in these 42 years after his first talk on this topic in 1963 at the ASPS meeting in Amherst!

During a lunch break at that symposium, Govindjee showed me the two memorial plaques on the university campus, one for Robert Emerson and the other for Eugene Rabinowitch. These plaques had been placed there just the day before. Of course, the initiative to commemorate these two great photosynthesis researchers, who both had worked at the University of Illinois and were his mentors, came from Govindjee. In addition, he invited me to his house where we had a wonderful tea hour together with his wife Rajni. He also showed me the house of Eugene Rabinowitch in Urbana, where Govindjee, already as graduate student, had often been invited.

Activities with the Rebeiz Foundation

During this 2005 symposium, Constantin Rebeiz, whom I knew very well from several photosynthesis meetings, told me that he had founded the Rebeiz Foundation for Basic Research, which in the future would give awards to selected top scientists for outstanding achievements in photosynthesis research. For this purpose, he had created a Board of Directors of the Rebeiz Foundation, which consisted of nine colleagues including Govindjee. The others were Christoph Benning, Hans Bohnert, Donald Bryant, Henry Daniell, William Lucas, Archie Portis, Harald Paulsen, Baishnab Tripathy and since 2010 also Tom Sharkey (see Rebeiz 2013, chapter 2.11, pages 58 and 59). Tino’s wife, Carole Rebeiz, acted as Secretary General
of the Foundation. This decision Board had to approve and select possible awardees. Tino also mentioned that he was planning to award the first Lifetime Achievement Award (LTA-Award) of the Rebeiz Foundation to Govindjee, and I encouraged him to do so. And in fact, Govindjee was the first recipient of this prestigious LTA-Award in 2006 which was presented to him in a dinner ceremony in 2007 at the Foundation. On this occasion, also several testimonials of colleagues on his scientific merits were read to the audience consisting of colleagues, Board members and guests of the Rebeiz Foundation.

During this 2005 symposium, Tino Rebeiz also mentioned that he would propose to the decision Board his former professor Paul Castelfranco, Davis, as next candidate for this Award planned for 2007. When being asked on further possible awardees, I told Tino that my friend Andy Benson (1917–2015), the co-detector of the Melvin-Calvin cycle of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation, would, in my opinion, be the most appropriate person. Andy was still alive then. I also mentioned that, together with two colleagues, I was preparing a special issue of Photosynthesis Research with papers dedicated to him for his 90th anniversary in 2007 (Lichtenthaler et al. 2008). In 2008, Andy Benson indeed was elected as 3rd recipient of the LTA-Award of the Rebeiz Foundation for Basic Research and I had written a short laudatio for him. Since at the Award ceremony in 2009 Andy Benson, then 92 years old, could no longer travel to Champaign, it was Govindjee – wearing a bow tie of Andy – who accepted this LTA-Award on behalf of Andy Benson. Later, further recipients of the LTA-Award of the Rebeiz Foundation were e.g., Robert Blankenship, St. Louis; Bob Buchanan, Berkeley; Roland Douce (1939–2018), Grenoble; and Diter von Wettstein (1929–2017), Pullman, and in total 12 recipients (see Table 1). In 2015, the decision Board of the Rebeiz Foundation selected me to receive the LTA-Award for photosynthesis. Together with me also Pierre Joliot, Paris, was honored. I was the 11th and Pierre Joliot the 12th recipient of the LTA-Award, and we both were the last ones to receive this honor.

Govindjee and I met in Champaign the day before the ceremony as shown in Fig. 4. During the ceremony, four testimonials were presented for both recipients. And it was Govindjee who presented my laudatory speech. The other honoring speech was given by Tom Sharkey and both together wrote an article on this event for the journal Photosynthesis Research (Sharkey and Govindjee 2016). Fig. 5 shows Pierre Joliot and me together with Constantin Rebeiz at the Award ceremony at the Rebeiz Foundation. On the plaque of Pierre Joliot was indicated “for his discoveries in oxygen evolution and electron transport” and on my plaque “for his discoveries in chloroplast structure and isoprenoid biochemistry”.

Table 1. List of the twelve recipients of the Lifetime Achievement Award (LTA-Award) of the Rebeiz Foundation of Basic Research, Champaign, Illinois. The Awards were given in the years indicated, yet the corresponding award ceremony with handing over of the award plaques always proceeded in the following year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Govindjee</td>
<td>Urbana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2&lt;sup&gt;nd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Paul Castelfranco</td>
<td>Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3&lt;sup&gt;rd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Andy A. Benson</td>
<td>La Jolla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Diter von Wettstein</td>
<td>Pullman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>William L. Ogren</td>
<td>Urbana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bob Buchanan</td>
<td>Berkeley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>7&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Wolfgang Junge</td>
<td>Osnabrück</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>André T. Jagendorf</td>
<td>Cornell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>9&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Robert B. Blankenship</td>
<td>St. Louis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Roland Douce</td>
<td>Grenoble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>11&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Hartmut K. Lichtenthaler</td>
<td>Karlsruhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pierre Joliot</td>
<td>Paris</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Hartmut Lichtenthaler (left), Constantin Rebeiz (middle) and Govindjee (right) on September 12, 2015 in Champaign, Illinois, the day before Hartmut received the Lifetime Achievement Award of the Rebeiz Foundation, an award Govindjee had already received as the first one in 2007. (Photo from H.K. Lichtenthaler)

Fig. 5. Photo on September 13, 2015 at the Rebeiz Foundation, Champaign after the LTA-Awards 2014 for merits in photosynthesis had been handed over by Constantin Rebeiz (middle) to Hartmut Lichtenthaler (left) and Pierre Joliot (right). (Photo from Laurent Gasquet)
Further activities

In 2015, Andy Benson, the outstanding biochemist and pioneer of photosynthesis and plant lipid research, passed away in La Jolla. It is particular noteworthy that his ‘Obituary and Tribute’ was written by four scientists active in photosynthesis research, all of them friends of Andy Benson, who – like Andy Benson – had also received the LTA-Award for Photosynthesis of the Rebeiz Foundation. These were Bob Buchanan, Roland Douce, Govindjee, and me (Lichtenthaler et al. 2015). One of our most recent joint activities was to write the tribute ‘Remembering Melvin Calvin (1911–1997),’ which came out in Photosynthesis Research (Govindjee et al. 2020b).

Another one was to translate the doctoral thesis of Robert Emerson, then written in German language since he had received his Ph.D. with Otto Warburg in Berlin, into English language. Of course, the idea and encouragement came from Govindjee, and the translation was performed by Lars Olof Björn and me (Lichtenthaler and Björn 2020). And this paper was preceded by a Letter to the Editor of Govindjee on Emerson (Govindjee 2020).

In summary, it was a pleasure and privilege having met Govindjee so early in our scientific careers and then again and again over the years. Our cooperation and friendship is now lasting for many decades and will continue in the future. This year he celebrates his 88th birthday. Along with many colleagues of our worldwide photosynthesis community, I wish him a stable health, many happy returns and many further activities as outstanding science communicator and passionate author of historical perspectives in photosynthesis.
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